

CITY COUNCIL MEETING

**CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS, CITY HALL
29592 ELLENSBURG AVE
GOLD BEACH OR 97444
MONDAY, AUGUST 14, 2006
REGULAR MEETING: 6:30 P.M.**

CALLED TO ORDER BY MAYOR POPOFF AT 6:30 P.M.

THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

ROLL CALL:

	<u>PRESENT</u>	<u>ABSENT</u>
Mayor Karl Popoff	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>
Council Position #1 Sue Johnson	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>
Council Position #2 Don Flynn	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>
Council Position #3 Mark Coltrane	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>
Council Position #4 Michele Martin	<u> </u>	<u> X </u>
Council Position #5 David Alexander	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>
City Administrator Robert Bare	<u> </u>	<u> X </u>

****NOTE:** Comments and participation from the audience shall be limited to 5 minutes without redundancy.

CONSENT CALENDAR: (A)

Approval of Council Minutes of 7/10/06.

Review of bills paid in the amount of \$182,803.62.

MOTION:-> Johnson moved to approve the Consent Calendar as presented, second by Flynn. Johnson, Flynn, Coltrane and Alexander voted "AYE". VOTE 4 AYES 1 ABSENT

CITIZEN AND/OR AGENCY REQUESTED AGENDA ITEMS:

ACCEPT AND ADOPT THE SEWER TREATMENT PLANT FACILITIES PLAN
(Previously approved by DEQ) (B)

MOTION:-> Alexander moved to accept and adopt the Sewer Treatment Plant Facilities Plan as presented and previously approved by DEQ, second by Coltrane. Johnson, Flynn, Coltrane and Alexander voted "AYE". VOTE 4 AYES 1 ABSENT

SKATEBOARD BOARD OF DIRECTORS - BRAD WOOD SPOKESMAN (C)

Review inroads made to date

Buffington Memorial Park siting

Brad Wood-94265 Leith Road, President-Gold Beach Skatepark Organization

Mr. Wood gave a short presentation to the Council. (C)

POPOFF-(In response to comments read by Mr. Wood) At no time did I ever say that the sign - the city was not at risk in Brookings. What you did get correct was the fact that the sign was being ignored, that only bicyclists that were not supposed to be on there were the ones wearing the helmets. No one else had any protection whatsoever. The first part of your statement I categorically deny and I refute-I did not say that. Thanks for your presentation, you've done your homework and I really appreciate that.

The Opinion poll (D)-approximately 27% responded - Popoff proceeded to read the details of the poll into the record. What bothered me about this and I'm sure I'm not the only one was the very low turnout. All you had to do was just mark a couple of boxes when you were returning your water bill. Which led me to come to the conclusion that not many people care one way or another. The second thing that hit me was that the people are more than satisfied to go ahead and let this council make that decision. Whatever the decision the council makes, I will back them 100%. I know they will make their decision based on what best benefits this city.

JOHNSON-Recapped the results of the poll. 986 sent out, 274 returned, plus 3 more received today that aren't counted here cause I did this over the weekend. The numbers don't tally up because of the way the questions can be added but it comes to 88 No's and 216 Yes. I don't think that shows community support either. I'm disappointed we didn't have more response too. There were lots and lots of really good comments both ways. Some very thoughtful comments on both sides. There were also some "rude" ones. A lot of them "it's about time you did something for our kids" - yes, maybe it is but it's about time we take care of our city duties first-police, fire, water, sewer, public safety-everything. Those are my first priorities. I don't think it is more a matter of "should we" as it is "can we"? Are we (the city) in the position now to start providing entertainment facilities?

(Someone from the audience apparently said or did something inappropriate)

Johnson said I'm trying to speak nicely and I'm not going to sit here and listen...-I expect to be treated with respect.

POPOFF-My hearing isn't the best and I'm glad you brought my attention to it. When someone is speaking at this podium or from the audience-there will be no derisive chatter or

anything along those lines. If there is, I will warn the person once, the second time I will ask them to leave. I have the authority to do that. I will not put up with ill manners or rudeness. It is as simple as that. We are doing the best we can here. Councilor Johnson and everyone at this podium and the people in the audience are entitled to their opinion. Listen with courtesy whether you agree or disagree.

JOHNSON-There were some that I couldn't tell whether to count yes or no, so I didn't count either. Like "YES, if such and such happens. OR "NO", if such and such. There weren't very many like that, maybe 10 or 12. You need to all look at them- there are some good comments.

FLYNN-I also have some concerns about the low number returned. I don't think it makes for a real valid poll of the city. My other comment Brad (Wood) is when I spoke about the Skatepark in Myrtle Point, I spoke with parents and with kids that live there 24/7 and that's where I got the opinions that I expressed. They were opinions from the kids that use the park and the parents. They don't go to the park because of the concerns that I expressed. Parents that would not let their mid teens go there because they were afraid of what was going on there. If someone from city government says "oh yeah it's great", that's great. I'm just going by what the parents and kids and people told me personally.

COLTRANE-The Brookings Park is on city property. (Talking to Mr. Wood) I would like clarification on self-policing. Of the skateboarders that you do know, how do you feel about them being self-policing?

WOOD-It seems to be a consistent syndrome that when you involve the kids from the get go, in the planning process (I talked to some people in Coos Bay) they got all the kids to come to the design meeting, etc., this gave them a feeling of ownership. She said the kids gave a lot of input into the design. Something I heard from a gal in Myrtle Point) that sometimes when you have outsiders that come into town, they'll open their doors on their trucks and crank up there stereo and the kids that live there realize the neighbors don't like that so they tell those guys from out of town "hey that doesn't work here, it might work somewhere else but not here". Then maybe the kids move or whatever they do but the kids are the ones that are going and telling those other kids "that doesn't work here". We don't do that. Those kind of things coagulate. It's not like you ask the kids to do that, they

take it upon themselves because they realize it gives them a bad name and the neighbors complain and they don't want the neighbors mad at them. The kids from out of town don't care but obviously the kids that do live there do care. That's how that kind of syndrome gets created.

COLTRANE-So you give them some ownership in the whole project?

WOOD-Recently we were in Reedsport at a competition. There was a lot of litter from the spectators, not the skaters.

COLTRANE-OK, so you feel they would be pretty adequate at self-policing themselves and receptive to certain rules. If it became a problem and for some reason-violations of their own rules, maintenance problems or whatever-since it would be on city property and it was shut down, how do you think they would accept that?

WOOD-They would have to accept it. If there was some way to shut it down, if we fenced the entire thing with a 12 foot tennis court fence around it and gates and locks.....

ALEXANDER-I'm a little less negative about the percentage of return tally polls than most people would be because in my view the fact that 27% response - in a lot of elections in this society, that's a pretty good number. Decisions are made by those who show up. I'm not depressed by the turnout on this. As you are well aware, my primary concerns are a negative element moving in and taking over the park. I don't think a 12-foot fence is required. I am a supporter of having cameras on site as a deterrent. On the other side of the coin, it would be a marvelous way for a record to be kept of any special events or competitions held at the Skatepark, so it's yes, my primary concern is that it would be a deterrent to any drug involvement, bullying, excessive littering because it's all right there and we know who did it. But also in the positive side, it is a way for your organization to ??? the competition, you've got a record of the winner, maybe part of the prize would be a cd of that particular event. So that would be something I would like to see. My understanding of the information we have received from our risk manager and others-their primary point is that the problems their experience in Skatepark are based primarily on a non-professional design of the park and parks constructed in a way that perhaps utilizes volunteer labor at less skills than a professional contractor could employ, as well as signage. I would like to propose that our city engineer and risk

management team have the option and opportunity to review any such design, the characteristics of the design and the mechanism for which the actual construction would be carried out as well as the signage. I think if they have the ability to review and approve that, I'm going to feel a whole lot more comfortable about saying "yeah, let's do it". (Wood said he definitely agreed with all of that)

POPOFF-We've got to get this off the table. We can't leave you in limbo-it's not fair to you, the people supporting it or the kids. I don't know if the council is ready to go ahead or not-we don't have everyone here but we do have a quorum and that is enough to do business.

JOHNSON-Have you ever talked to the county about land? The county has little bits of land all over the place. (Answer was "no"). I know of a very nice spot in Hunter Creek by the county shop. I was wondering if there was some possibility of them coming up with a piece of land. Maybe do it with them, under their liability, like you're trying to do with the city. I don't think you have exhausted all of your trying yet. Maybe you won't until we say "aye" or "nay", I don't know.

WOOD-The board of directors of the Skatepark didn't really come up with the idea of the city park, it was suggested to us by a businessman here in town and we kinda went "why didn't we think of that"? The reason we went forward with that so aggressively was because it seemed (this was after our November meeting of last year when we were talking about south beach) and the city park seemed to address all the negative things we had brought up about south beach, especially the location. We're concerned that it was just too far out, where it wouldn't be under the watchful eye, or would be less under the watchful eye. I would think Hunter Creek would.....

JOHNSON-But it is still better than nothing I would think. I just picked that one out to mention-they've got lots of little places.

WOOD-If we're going to go there I would revisit south beach because I've heard rumors about..... I've heard rumors that the sidewalk is going to be improved from where it starts to where it goes.

JOHNSON-We just got a new one in down there-I don't know if it goes all the way or not. Anyway, I want to make something very clear that apparently was misunderstood by the newspaper.

It was the Skatepark Association that turned down the property at south beach. It was not the City of Gold Beach. The property was offered and it was refused because it was considered to be too far out of town-kids couldn't get there- whatever. Then when it came back, we had already put our visitor center there and then we did say "no, we won't do it there". I just want to make that clear.

POPOFF-I thought it would be so bad at south beach but after listening to their objections to it, quite frankly, I saw what they were saying. Subsequently, that's why I didn't like the idea either. I was looking at it from a safety issue. Motor homes coming up and down that road, etc. I got on board with the original objections that the committee itself came up with and I still stand by those. I don't consider that to be a safe place.

WOOD-The sand is not conducive to skate parks.

POPOFF stated if any of the councilors had any other questions or anything that might be cleared up by Mr. Wood, this would be a good time to do it.

ALEXANDER-I would like to concur with your mission statement regarding south beach. I think that hill, even with a Skatepark in place is just too attractive to be safe. I think it would be a temptation that would be almost irresistible. I'd hate a new grill of a motor home be an 11 year old kid.

COLTRANE-I took it upon myself to go visit a few people in the neighborhood of Buffington Park and discuss this with them. I wanted to see them face to face and see what they said to help me make a good decision. I was amazed-I ended up right back where I started. I had people tell me "yes, we would love to have a Skatepark but not here". I had people tell me "yes, we should have a Skatepark and here is just fine". I had about the same number of people tell me "absolutely not".

JOHNSON-One of the comments on the poll was "yes" at Buffington Park but we don't want it near the library. There were several like that, not just the library but other things.

COLTRANE-If I put it on a scale of enthusiasm, the positive was the most enthusiastic - "yes, the kids need it and right here is fine". It appeared to me that it was the resident that would probably be most affected by the noise. That person might change their mind if we build one there.

Brief discussion regarding homes built in that particular area prior to Buffington Park (1952) and after Buffington Park.

ALEXANDER-Siting it at Buffington Park does centrally locate our recreational availability. I think that could be a positive thing.

JOHNSON-Michele (Martin) is the one that asked for the opinion poll, I definitely think she needs to read it.

POPOFF-Do you think, as a council, that we can give them a definitive answer by next week?

ALEXANDER-I think we should table this until we have a full council.

WOOD-Do you have to be in council to make votes or can you do that.....?.

POPOFF-We have to be in regular session or call a special session.

Discussion followed on when to have the meeting but no decision was made. We will poll the council to determine if we will have a special meeting or wait until our regular meeting.

ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS:

RESOLUTION R0607-2 (E)

A RESOLUTION SETTING A FEE SCHEDULE FOR CITY SERVICES AND RESCINDING R0506-11 AND ANY OTHERS THAT MAY BE IN CONFLICT

POPOFF stated:-> This resolution simply updates the fees charged by the City to keep pace with our increasing operating costs

MOTION:-> Flynn moved to approve Resolution R0607-2, second by Alexander. Johnson, Flynn, Coltrane and Alexander voted "AYE". VOTE 4 AYES 1 ABSENT

ORDINANCE NO. 602 (F)

AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ANNEXATIONS TO THE CITY OF GOLD BEACH OREGON

First Reading:

POPOFF stated:->This ordinance updates our outdated Annexation Ordinance and will be part of the codification process at a later date. The second reading will be 9/11/06.

MOTION:-> Coltrane moved to approve the first and second readings, by title only, of Ordinance No. 602, as read by title only into the record by Mayor Popoff, second by Flynn. Johnson, Flynn, Coltrane and Alexander voted "AYE". VOTE 4 "AYES" 1 ABSENT

MOTION:-> Johnson moved to approve Ordinance No. 602, AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ANNEXATIONS TO THE CITY OF GOLD BEACH OREGON, second by Flynn. Johnson, Flynn, Coltrane and Alexander voted "AYE". VOTE 4 AYES 1 ABSENT

MAYOR AND COUNCIL COMMENTS:

Popoff was congratulated on receiving an award from the Oregon Mayor's Association. "Gold Beach Mayor Karl Popoff received an award of merit representing small cities"

Popoff stated that award-it was a bunch of people that made me look good. Beginning with Shirley, Hyrum Fedje, Jeff Denney, Russ Merkley, everyone that works in the office, everyone that works out there-they made me look good. I was very surprised and honored to receive this award. I know only too well who actually got it for me and that includes this council and I want to thank all of you. We have an election coming up in a couple three months. I am, personally, very satisfied with the council that we have. I would like to see it stay intact. That is my endorsement, as it were, and it may be the only time that I make a public one but I have made it.

JOHNSON-I want to apologize for my failure to be able to handle myself very well in the face of the people who "jeer". We went through this very badly before (or I did) and I'm not going to do it anymore. They weren't that bad and I should not have reacted as much as I did.

POPOFF-You had every right. I want everyone to understand. First of all, my attention was on you and what you were saying, therefore, I wasn't watchful of the audience. My hearing is not real good but never the less, I absolutely demand and will accept nothing less than common courtesy from everyone and that includes everyone up here, including myself and the audience. Whether we agree with one another or we disagree, it doesn't matter. When people (including those of

us that sit up here on the dais) come to these meetings, whoever it may be, we treat each other with common courtesy, whether we agree with the subject matter or not. Forgive me because I just didn't catch it. If you guys see something like that, bring my attention to it immediately, like Councilor Johnson did and I'll take care of it right then and there.

ALEXANDER-If one member disagrees with another members opinion, you can disagree but you may never disrespect. The opinions and the positions of each member of this council has been arrived at in good faith by that person. That good faith decision, whether I agree or disagree with it, commands my respect and so I totally agree with what you said. I think it is critical to having any kind of governmental organization function in the proper way that it should function and not turn into a bunch of six year olds fighting over a sandbox.

CITIZEN COMMENTS:

Mayor-if anyone wishes to add an item for Council consideration to the agenda, you may present it but the request requires a majority concurrence of the members present to be so added. Decisions on added agenda items that were not advertised on the agenda could be challenged.

Jim Freedman, Gold Beach - I made some comments in the paper two weeks ago this Wednesday. I received several phone calls, and several from Mr. Wood himself. He suggested we (my wife and I) go to the skateboard sites on the internet (we did). We went to a site called "Skatepark Association of the United States of America". They use the acronym "SPA USA". Mr. Wood stated he had raised \$25,000 to build the Skateboard Park and SPA USA suggests the smallest Skateboard Park should be 7,000 square feet. The average 10,000 square foot Skateboard Park, which is professionally designed, that they would approve, would cost at \$16.00 a square foot = \$140,000. If we were to build a 7,000 square foot Skatepark in Buffington Park, it would cost \$112,000 at the average of \$16. per square foot. We travel through Buffington Park often when we walk year round. During the winter time, below the hill, there is quite a bit of water and I would be very concerned that unless the Skatepark is properly designed that it would fill with water. The Skatepark Association United States and you guys need to go to it. This is their site and it is very pro Skatepark but they also give bits of information, good insight. They were very concerned about the Skatepark filling with water and people drowning. Things that I never thought could possibly happen. These are all from their site. There was a negative comment from a Skatepark in Brana??? California that has a

noise problem and they have stated noise problems result from the fact that the Skatepark was built at the bottom of a hill and the sound travels up. It was quoted "they built the park at the base of the hill and the noise travels up". They also pointed out several negative statements about drugs, crime, gangs and pointed out the Skatepark needed to be built in the right location, in an open location, not down in a canyon-it needs to be where the public can readily observe the Skatepark. SPA USA also says that Skateparks require regular maintenance. When we talked to Mr. Woods, he felt, as you saw tonight, that the Skatepark would police themselves and I think that is probably being a little too optimistic. I'm also concerned about the position of where I think the Skatepark would be located. When my wife and I went out and measured the area between the children's garden and kid castle-there is only about 7,100 square feet, which would fit the minimum standards of the SPA USA but you would have to go right up against the side of the hill and right up against the kids castle, garden and parking lot. I'm concerned about the closeness of the restrooms. I realize it's nice to have restrooms right there but things happen in restrooms that I'm aware of that are often very negative and I think this could be a nuisance that the city doesn't really need. I know you guys have tight fiscal responsibilities, I don't know that you need to increase any of the responsibilities for Mr. Denney or the police department or for the city itself as far as maintenance, upkeep and policing is concerned. I do feel, that if you decide to vote for a Skatepark, I think the citizens need to get behind you once you've made that decision. Even if it costs more, even if the taxes have to go up in order to maintain and police a Skatepark, I think we should be willing to do that if you guys vote for it.

JOHNSON-I remember from reading your comments on the poll that you really gave this a lot of thought instead just saying "yes, we need to do something for our kids". I appreciate that. There were some really good comments and logical reasons for and against.

FREEDMAN-They say there is nothing for kids to do but there was a nice editorial in the paper a couple of weeks ago from some folks from Miami Beach who came out here and had a full week with their kids. We're often in the park early in the morning when there are lots of kids there-they are well supervised, with their parents, rarely did you see anything negative in the park. It is a great park, it is a beautiful park. I would just hate to see it defiled. I have had some

negative experience with Skateparks in business and education and I would like to ask you guys to think very carefully about it.

COLTRANE- When you're there in the park and you see the parents and the kids together, they are younger kids, is that correct? They're not teenagers?

FREEDMAN- Some of them are babies, very rarely do we see teenagers unless it's during softball. There are some kids now from up above us that - they are good kids, but they are younger kids - 7 & 8 years old. There are a number of kids in our neighborhood that are in the 5-6-7-8-9 year old area-they are not supervised-they ride down Hound Dog and down into the park and through the park and stuff. It is interesting to see most of the time they have helmets on, often they don't strap the helmet down, it's kind of cool to leave the strap hanging down and stuff. That really does a lot of good. One of the points the Skatepark Association brought out is the fact that Skateboarding is the #1 choice of activities for kids between the ages of 5 and 12. So I think it would be a magnet for kids, some of it unsupervised, to mix with older kids that may have a negative influence on them. I was interested in the sign from Cottage Grove-the small comments under the sign for Skatepark rules at Cottage Grove that was in the newspaper. Why would you bring up things like "if you don't behave you're going to meet the Police Department" and things like that if they don't already have problems with Skateparks?

COLTRANE-The older I get, the harder it is for me to think like a young person. I think we're all like that. It's a shame, in a way, that we think that way. Here we are making the rules that are coming from a viewpoint of an adult that are going to affect young people. I think every once in awhile we should try and "walk a mile in their shoes" or something. I'm trying to learn how to do that. What you've said here today has helped quite a bit, especially about the young people down there with their parents. I appreciate that.

FREEDMAN-I think the reason we are adults, and I realize where we start, but my argument is the reason they made us adults was so that we could protect the kids from the things we went through as kids and we're paying for now. One other thing-the quotes that were from the US Consumer Products Commission or whatever it was (this was on the SPA USA site) they were 1968 safety statistics.

JOHNSON-I had prepared a statement I was going to read. I'm not going to tonight, I guess it is not the proper time. I've barely thought of anything but this. I want to do what's right. But I still say it gets down to "can we"? We are looking at 9 million dollars in debt. Where is our first priority, recreation or city services that we have to provide? I know that sounds like an old mean thing about recreation for the kids but I do care. I've heard strong rumors that a certain business person has bought property and is going to put a theater in-I think that would be marvelous. People commented it would be great to have a theater, skating rink, bowling alley, swimming pool and I agree with that but "can we"?. We did have bowling alleys, skating rink and a theater and they were all private enterprise.

POPOFF-Yes, those were private enterprises, the city can't get involved in building those types of things.

FREEDMAN-One of the things I think you need to consider, and I'm not telling you what to do, is where are the limits of what the city can do for the people? Is the Skatepark one of the things this city needs to do for the people or are there other priorities that are more demanding?

JOHNSON-Some of the polls came back with "reduce our sewer bills before you build a Skatepark or "pay off bills". That's true. They're going to get hit with another increase in their sewer bills the first of the year. \$10 a month may not be much to you and think what it is for the motels, it is hundreds of dollars a month. Yes, do we have the money to be using it for good things like recreation? I don't think so. I feel very strongly about that.

FREEDMAN-One of the phone calls we got was pro Skatepark but put in a miniature golf course and a volley ball court.

JOHNSON-Yes, there are lots of good ideas, changes that I would love to see but we can't do it.

ALEXANDER-Thanked Freedman for his well thought out comments and presentation, it is highly commendable.

FREEDMAN-The decision is well within your preview, you are our representatives. Whatever decision you make, it is well within the purview of your job. We just need to support

whatever decision you guys make. It's tough-as Councilor Johnson pointed out-people don't want to pay any more.

KATE FREEDMAN-Gold Beach-I'm the other half. There are only two points that I wanted us to bring up. When you're doing your research about Skateparks on the internet or anywhere, please realize that the Skatepark industry is a multi billion dollar industry. What you will read are all the pros about how good this is and what it provides for your children and it's a recreation and etc. It's really hard to find the negative aspects. So you have to hunt and look. One of the things, in talking to Mr. Woods, he said "where do you find this negative stuff about Skateparks, all I see is positive, positive, positive"? I said, and to be fair, but you're looking with blinders on. You're looking for all the positive reasons that a Skatepark would be a good thing for this community. Look in the other direction, go the other way and you will find so much negative information about things that happened to children in Skateparks. I wanted to make a comment about how much money is being spent by children so how "pro" the information is-positive information and it's not all that positive.

Another conversation with Mr. Wood was he said that helmets would be required for the kids, they would have to wear a helmet. I said "OK, I understand, if they're going to be required, that would be a good thing but how are you going to monitor that"? He explained to me that the police were going to issue the children citations if they did not wear a helmet. My comment to him was "our police department has a full plate, in my opinion, and they can't be down there monitoring what's going on in the Skatepark. The helmet issue is just one, it would be graffiti or problems, etc." so those were just two big issues. I think his premise is to proceed with the thought that our police department is going to monitor a Skatepark, then that needs to be clarified to him somehow cause I don't think that is a reality now.

COLTRANE-Unless it has changed since I was involved in police work, you can only issue a citation on an ordinance or a statute that is in existence at the time of the citation. Are there any that demand that a helmet is worn?

ALEXANDER-Yes, there is a state law that requires helmets for those under 15 years of age-15 and younger - must wear a helmet while skateboarding.

FREEDMAN-Many of the public parts, which are then owned by municipalities allow bicycles, BMX bikes, etc., along with skateboarding. If you go into the internet and start to read, there are lawsuits being filed for Skateparks that are public, not private, that do not allow bikes as well as skateboards, as well as in-line skaters, as well as.....so, you can't just have a Skatepark. If you're going to have one, you're going to have to allow another, etc. then if you built one too small, then this is a civil rights issue because you didn't build one big enough to have the bicycles. This is a catch 22-it goes on and on. I just want the council to be aware-heads-up, there is a lot of information out there but if you just look for the goody two shoes stuff about everything is wonderful and this is a great thing-it is so political and it is so polled in the favor of the big industry making the money, you're not going to see what is really going to happen to this community or what could happen.

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

The next regular meeting for the Gold Beach City Council is scheduled for Monday evening, SEPTEMBER 11, 2006 in the Council Chambers of City Hall, 29592 Ellensburg Ave., at 6:30 P.M.

ADJOURNED at 7:45 P.M.

The location of the hearing/meeting is accessible to the disabled. Advance notice is requested if special accommodations are needed. Call (541) 247-7029 so that appropriate assistance can be provided. The City of Gold Beach is an affirmative action EEOE and complies with section 504 of the rehab act of 1973. Complaints of Discrimination should be sent to: USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Washington, D.C. 20250-9419

Approved by the Gold Beach City Council on 9/11/06.


Karl Popoff, Mayor

ATTEST:


Robert G. Bare, City Administrator