



# MINUTES

## July 8, 2013

### CITY COUNCIL MEETING

*Note: These minutes are a near-verbatim transcript of the meeting.*

**Call to order:**                      **Time 6:30pm**

**1.        The pledge of allegiance**

**Mayor Karl Popoff:** I have asked Councils permission to move item #3 to item #2. I do want the roll call to be complete the first time. With that in mind we have a swearing in to do of Melinda McVey.

**2.        Roll Call:**

	<i>Present</i>	<i>Absent</i>
<i>Mayor Karl Popoff</i>	<b>X</b>	
<i>Council Position #1 Melinda McVey</i>	<b>X</b>	
<i>Council Position #2 Larry Brennan</i>	<b>X</b>	
<b><i>Council Position #3 Brice Gregory</i></b>	<b>X</b>	
<b><i>STARTING VOTE</i></b>		
<i>Council Position #4 Doug Brand</i>	<b>X</b>	
<i>Council Position #5 Tamie Kaufman</i>	<b>X</b>	
<i>City Administrator Jodi Fritts</i>	<b>X</b>	
<i>Student Liaison Vacant</i>		

**3.        Special Orders of Business:**

**a.        Swearing In Councilor Melinda McVey**

**Mayor:** For reasons I will explain a little bit later I would like to have you do the swearing in.

**City Administrator Jodi Fritts:** OK. Do you have a camera? We would like to have a commemorative photograph for you. Would you mind coming up here. Raise your right hand and repeat after me please. I, Melinda McVey do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution and the laws of the United States, the State of Oregon and the City of Gold Beach. That I will, to the best of my ability, faithfully perform the duties of City Councilor during my continuance therein, so help me God.

**Councilor Melinda McVey:** I, Melinda McVey do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution and the laws of the United States, the State of Oregon and the City of Gold Beach. That I will, to the best of my ability, faithfully perform the duties of City Councilor during my continuance therein, so help me God.

**4.        Consent Calendar**

*Minutes: April 8, 2013 meeting*

**Mayor:** Has everybody had an opportunity to look at those?

**MOTION: Councilor Tamie Kaufman made the motion to approve the Consent Calendar. Councilor Larry Brennan seconded the motion.**

**Mayor Popoff asked if there was any further discussion or debate. Hearing none he called for the vote.**

<b>Record of Vote</b>	<b>Ayes</b>	<b>Nays</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Council Position #1 Melinda McVey	<b>X</b>		
Council Position #2 Larry Brennan	<b>X</b>		
Council Position #3 Brice Gregory	<b>X</b>		
Council Position #4 Doug Brand	<b>X</b>		
Council Position #5 Tamie Kaufman	<b>X</b>		
<b>MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY</b>			

**5. Citizens Comments**

*As presented to the Mayor at the beginning of the meeting*

**6. Public Hearing**

*None scheduled*

**7. Citizen Requested Agenda Items**

*Don Swan-request an ordinance to ban flag signs on Hwy 101*

**Don Swan, 29346 Ellensburg Avenue:** What I would like to speak to you about tonight affects tourism, our town's image, public safety and most importantly, our town's legal liability. Business with flags in the beginning when there were only a few was not that big a deal. The problem is that businesses are competitive. So, if one has a flag the next one puts one out. Then they want a bigger flag or three flags. It is not going to stop. It is going to continue to escalate, these flags on Hwy 101. That is the way business is. If you don't put a cap on competition they will continue to compete. The second thing I want to talk to you about too is that one big knock against Gold Beach is that it is windy. We all know that one of the windiest spots in Gold Beach is right there on Hwy 101. It whips through there like a wind tunnel and we put flags out. Brookings knocks us on that, Bandon knocks us on that. With the flags whipping hard enough to make the poles bend women say they don't want to stop because it will mess up their hair. I just don't think that it is an image that is helping up. I don't believe that the flags are helping us at all and I don't think that they are generating any extra business. I think it is helping tourists to drive through town and not to stop. I think the flags make our town look hodge-podge, unprofessional, like a big flea market. Image is very important to a town. We are in a competitive market with a lot of other cities. We have to make our town look inviting like a place to stop and a place that is professional. I just don't believe that's the image the flags put out. I think they will continue to get worse. One of the most important points is safety. The flags are right up to the street sign. If a pole pulls out and hits a pedestrian who gets hurt. The hurt party won't just sue the business owner, they will also try to pull the town into that lawsuit. I think that exceptions could be made for our holidays. I don't think that it should be an Ordinance that is implemented quickly. Maybe generate one in 2014, give people time to get used to the new rules. I don't believe any business owner is adversely affected. If we all play by the same rules, no one is affected negatively. We all have signs and you can see those signs. It's just that when everyone wants to push closer and closer to the streets, it is the competitive nature of business. I am hoping

that this Council would outlaw flags on Hwy 101 except for legal holidays. I also believe it would be easy to enforce. The Police would have the right to remove the flag and if the people want to come get their flag back, there would be a \$50 fine to get their flag back with escalating fines if they keep putting it out. As an owner of 2 motels and an RV center the Tsunami horn is terrifying tourists. Especially in the middle of the night, I get calls on the desk of little old women who are scared to death. Tourists who have just seen Tsunamis on TV and they think it is like every week we are getting Tsunamis. I just think that by next summer maybe there is some way we can notify the volunteers. The volunteers do a great job and we are all proud of them. But, maybe there is another way to notify them. We are all spending a lot of money getting them here and scaring them is not helping.

**Councilor Tamie Kaufman:** Are you talking about the fire siren or the actual test tsunami alarm?

**Swan:** Yes, the fire siren. It is usually 2-4am usually because of an emergency. It is very scary especially to older tourists.

**Councilor Doug Brand:** Do I need to recuse myself because I have a business with 3 flags.

**Fritts:** No, we are not deciding anything.

**Councilor Brand:** The Urban Renewal lady who did our plan, one of the things she likes about our City was the flags. So, that is kind of surprising. She said that she wished every coastal community had them on the businesses.

**Swan:** Maybe if we had a patriotic theme to it, but they are completely random, huge, and small. I just don't see it as a benefit. Obviously, people can disagree, but to me it makes us look hodge-podge. I don't find it attractive.

**Mayor:** The signs that are at street side at human height can be really dangerous in the wind. It is just like a towel in the locker room. I got whipped good at the Crow's Nest by the flag where it is at right across the face. Liability is something I was concerned with as well, getting back to the City. We can't ban the flags, we can regulate the size, but I don't think we can ban the flags. But, I do appreciate the comment about them whipping.

**Swan:** If we don't figure out a way to do something they are just going to continue getting bigger and more of them. At some point there is going to be something to force our hand, liability or something. I just think it is something we need to be proactive about. The City should have the authority to at least regulate the size.

**Councilor McVey:** The motels and hotels have one small advantage in that they have the large vacancy and no vacancy signs that as the visitors can see immediately if there is room at the Inn. The only thing that the store owner has is the sign that says Open or Welcome. I agree with you Don about regulating the size or the shape or something, but I do think that the flags are important for the visitors and us too when we are driving down. I would be disappointed if I was driving to a store, parked my car and then realized that the store was closed. I personally like the flags that tell me it is open.

**Councilor Brand:** I appreciate what you are saying and maybe we should look at how many, per business. I am not saying that I am right with 3. Why do we have 3, well because the wording worked. I agree with you. Maybe this is something that we need to look at. Not that I am disagreeing, but the Urban Renewal Rep that we had doing our plan loves them. She has done 80 Urban Renewal Plans, many on the coast. To address the other issue, when we had the Tsunami Awareness Meetings awhile back they were looking at a State wide program to replace the sirens all over the state along the coast. It comes out to like \$90,000 a deal and of course we are Gold Beach so we are going to be last. But it is in the works. It is going to take a lot of time.

**Swan:** And what will that be like?

**Councilor Brand:** The siren will be the same thing from Brookings to Astoria. If it goes off it will be the same thing with a specific tone. The first aid calls are a different story.

**Mayor:** Yes, those are the ones we can't change right not.

**Swan:** I just think that it is something that we should think about for the town. I just think it is a problem.

**Fritts:** I would agree with you Don that it is a problem. We get calls all the time at City Hall even during the day, "What does that mean?" I will talk to Chief Floyd. Most of the responders have pagers. So, I don't know if it is just that because we have always rung a bell that we just ring it. Or if it is truly necessary for them as volunteers to know. I think it is more that the Sheriff's office has always rung it so that is what they do. I will check with the Fire Chief and see what we can do there.

**Swan:** I just think with all the tsunami things that people are more paranoid than ever. Thank you for your time.

**Councilor Kaufman:** Interesting timing on that because I got a call on that at midnight 4<sup>th</sup> of July "What is that?"

**Fritts:** That actually was a fire.

**Councilor Kaufman:** Yes that was a fire. I know that we got rid of the noon whistle because it was scaring people. So, maybe we don't need it.

**Fritts:** I will check with Chief Floyd and see if it is really necessary. Because it isn't us that rings it, it is the Sheriff's office dispatch.

**Councilor Brice Gregory:** Is it strictly for the Fire Department?

**Mayor:** Yes, fire emergency services.

**Fritts:** Yes, it is no longer for the ambulance crew. They have not been volunteers for a long time. Cal-Or does their own dispatching through the Sheriff's office.

**Councilor McVey:** What if we could get them to not do it at 2 or 3 in the morning.

**Fritts:** Like I said, I get calls during the day. I'd just prefer that we get rid of it.

**8. Public Contracts and Purchasing**

*None scheduled*

**9. Ordinances & Resolutions**

*None scheduled*

**10. Miscellaneous Items (including policy discussions and determinations)**

a. *Renewal of Social Gaming License-Diane Kellogg*

**Mayor:** From what I have read there is no reason for not renewing. No problems. So Council it is your decision as to whether or not to renew.

**Councilor Brand:** Didn't we? Earlier this year or late last year?

**Fritts:** We reduced the cost of it. The renewal fee is \$100; it used to be \$300. One thing, when we do get done with the Renewal I would just briefly like to talk about the social game Ordinance. Basically, this is the 3<sup>rd</sup> year that Miss Kellogg has requested to renew the gaming license and we have had no issues.

**MOTION: Councilor Larry Brennan made a motion to approve the gaming license for Diane Kellogg. Councilor Brice Gregory seconded the motion.**

**Mayor Popoff asked if there was any further discussion or debate. Hearing none he called for the vote.**

<b>Record of Vote</b>	<b>Ayes</b>	<b>Nays</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Council Position #1 Melinda McVey	<b>X</b>		
Council Position #2 Larry Brennan	<b>X</b>		
Council Position #3 Brice Gregory	<b>X</b>		
Council Position #4 Doug Brand	<b>X</b>		
Council Position #5 Tamie Kaufman	<b>X</b>		
<b>MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY</b>			

**Fritts:** One of the things that Councilor Kaufman brought up is that there is a maximum and minimum bet in here that we should probably revisit when we look at the Ordinances, maybe this fall. There is just one gaming table in town. Is it even necessary to have a maximum/minimum bet limit? Also, some groups do fund raisers like Texas Hold 'Em and it is just a one day thing. Councilor Kaufman and I actually participated in one just recently for the high school. It is meant as a fund raiser, not as a social game. If you do a hard reading of this Ordinance I don't think that it offers that ability to have a one day event. So, I think that when we talk about this we should build some kind of exclusion in there that if it is a charity one time or weekend event that they are not required to get a social gaming license.

**Mayor:** Why don't you go ahead and work something up and then present it to the Council.

**Councilor Brand:** One of the fund raiser we do once a year in January we do at the gaming tables and I think that also should be in the exclusion.

**Councilor Kaufman:** Maybe Miss Kellogg could give us some input because I think we are breaking one of those rules at her table. She can probably tell us, if we want to have numbers in there, what would be reasonable. I think this was written a long time ago and never updated.

**Fritts:** Why do we care what the maximum/minimum bet is because we don't derive any revenue from it. It is not taxed or anything.

**Councilor Kaufman:** Does the State care?

**Fritts:** I don't know. I will ask legal counsel that question. Is there some Oregon Lottery regulation that a bet has to be under a certain amount otherwise it is regulated by the state lottery? They are very specific about what you can and can't do.

**Councilor Gregory:** Does this preclude people getting together on the weekend and playing poker?

**Fritts:** I think there is an exception in there for fun in your own house.

**Councilor Gregory:** No, I mean for money.

**Fritts:** I think we should probably spell that out. Now that there is the Poker Stars I think there is a lot more poker playing than there used to be. We should probably make it clear that a friendly game in your house is exempt from the regulations. I don't want to regulate that in any way.

**Mayor:** No, and it would be hard to enforce.

**Councilor McVey:** Everything listed here says owners of business. So, if you want to have it in your home then we need to put in an addendum.

**Mayor:** We will have the CA put something together to include all of these suggestions. If possible we can take a look at that next month.

**Mayor:** Now to the review of City Business Plan Goals 3-6.

***b. Review City Business Plan Goals 3 -6***

**Fritts:** We do a review of the City Business Plan quarterly. We have eight City Business Goals that are broken down into each quarter. I apologize that we were due for a review of goals 3-4 in April and I actually forgot to bring that to you. So, this includes 3-4 as well as 5-6 which will bring us up to date. Just as a reminder these goals came out of the workshop sessions that we had with the public in 2010 at the High School. These goals weren't necessarily written by the City Council. These were goals from the citizens. One of the things that I put at the end of my report is that it has been 3 years since we have had the workshops

with the public. So, I don't know if we would like to revisit this in the fall. That was when we had the workshops at the high school, August and September 2010. We are coming up on 3 years and things have changed some. We have met some of our goals. In fact, I am pleasantly surprised that many of them we have made really good progress on. So, is it time to have some new meetings to see if the City has some new things that it would like to talk about.

**Councilor Kaufman:** Could we maybe do them after the Rural Tourism Studio because I think we might get some ideas out of that.

**Fritts:** OK, that would be in the springtime. So, yes we could do it after that.

**Mayor:** The what?

**Councilor Kaufman:** Rural Tourism Studio. Jodi and some other people had Travel Oregon come down and do these workshops. And I think that there is going to be a product from that with some goals. I think that might be a good time to get the public involved.

**Fritts:** OK, that's a great idea.

**Mayor:** Yes, that is a good idea.

**Fritts:** How would you like to go through these goals? What I did was go through each action item and gave you a brief update on it. If just reading the update works for you, that's fine with me. If you have specific questions about particular items, that may be the easiest way to go about it.

**Councilor Kaufman:** I had a question on #4 when we were talking about the wastewater plant and I don't remember finishing #5.

**Fritts:** We have not. We had the first reading on it then we got the letter from the State Building Codes. We just keep going back and forth with them sending me their building code without identifying where we are not in compliance. I am not going to go through their 50 page building code and try to match it up to ours to see what is different. I just can't get a straight comment from them as to what we need to address.

**Councilor Gregory:** Is this something that you can get council input on and then move forward on?

**Fritts:** Well, I think what I am going to do is tell the gentleman, whom I just spoke with again today, "Your department needs to tell me what we are doing right or wrong and I will be happy to comply. I don't think it is appropriate for us to have to go through your Ordinance and pick what is wrong in ours."

**Councilor Gregory:** But, we are not doing anything that is involving building codes.

**Fritts:** It is the plumbing code and that is considered building code.

**Councilor Gregory:** But are we actually at odds with plumbing code? I mean you can put in a garbage disposal or take one out, it doesn't really matter.

**Fritts:** Right. That's why I need them to tell me what are we putting in our Fog Ordinance that is either a) in violation of their state building code or b) exceeds state building code. That is what they are saying, "You can't adopt a code that exceeds state building code." I think what it was that they saw that the City was adopting an Ordinance and sent us a letter saying well you can't do that. So, they need to tell us where it exceeds their code. If they don't do that I will talk to legal counsel for the next meeting. If I don't get some input back from them then I say we go ahead and adopt our Ordinance.

**Councilor Kaufman:** We need to get going on it.

**Fritts:** I agree. We need to get going. It has been almost 3 months now. We need to get going so we can get our business owners going.

**Councilor Kaufman:** What about sending a copy of the emails you are getting to the DEQ and say "can you talk to them?"

**Fritts:** That is a good idea. I did tell the building codes gentleman, who has been very nice just not very helpful, you realize the City did not just pull this out of the air. We were told by DEQ that we needed to adopt these standards to keep this stuff out of our sewer treatment plant. I kind of nicely said, both of you guys work for the State, do you not talk to each other. But, I didn't get an answer on that one.

**Councilor McVey:** I have a question on #7 only because I am new here. What is the GIS or what was it?

**Fritts:** GIS is an acronym for Geographic Information System. Basically it is computer mapping. The county had the computer mapping and the cities paid a portion into supporting that department. Then last July they got rid of the department without notifying any of us.

**Councilor McVey:** I spent ½ hour looking up something that doesn't exist anymore.

**Fritts:** That has been a problem for us because there is a bunch of information from the Assessor's office that we no longer have access to. That kind of hamstring us on information.

**Mayor:** Does Council see any need to go through these things one at a time? It is already pretty much updated. We know what they are from in here.

**Councilor Brand:** You might touch on Goal #5 item 3.

**Fritts:** Complete funding and construction of 3rd Street sidewalks. We will receive a \$50,000 reimbursement grant from Department Of Transportation to work on the sidewalk for 3<sup>rd</sup> Street. Included in my CA report, as of Friday we finally got our right of way easement so that we can do the road construction. Moving the road over so that we could put the sidewalk in was step 1. We finally got the right-of-way easement from the neighbor that

we needed to be able to move the road. So, now the engineers will, hopefully next week, let the bid for the work. So, this summer we will have movement on that.

**Councilor Gregory:** One other one that I wanted to talk about was the annexation. I can't remember where we stand on that.

**Fritts:** I am told by the county, about a month ago, that they will soon have a new GIS system online. As soon as that goes live we will be able to get some information. The problem right now is that none of the information is being updated. We are going into almost two years where the information hasn't been updated which is not going to do us any good. Hopefully, this summer they will have that new system online. Then we can look at the annexation issue again. The reason we were looking at that was because of the county's financial situation.

**Councilor Kaufman:** I think that is a really important way to keep our budget solid is to annex.

**Fritts:** Yes. And as the uncertainty with their law enforcements continues.

**Councilor Gregory:** We would like to move along.

**Fritts:** Yes. And I have passed that urgency on to them, but it really hasn't done any good.

**Councilor McVey:** Goal #5 the development addressing downtown parking needs. I'm really blank on that. I didn't know there was a problem.

**Councilor Brand:** There is a problem, but this is one of the things that urban renewal is looking at. One of the very first things that we thought urban renewal could actually be a party in seeing what we could do as far as parking was concerned.

**Councilor Kaufman:** That reminds me. Did the city ever get possession of the parking lot by the post office?

**Fritts:** Interesting that you brought that up. Just last week the lease expired on it as of June 30. The county attorney, Jerry Herbage, contacted me last week and said that the county wants to renew the lease, but not for another 20 year term. So, they asked if we could just renew it for one year because they don't know yet what they want to do with that lot. Of course, if they decide they want to dispose of it then the city would have first right of refusal. They are looking at options for counting on property, so they didn't want to commit to another 20 years. So, I said one year would be fine until they decide what they want to do with it. This is where the Pocket Park is. So, sometime in the next year they will decide if they want to have that or just dispose of it. We already use it for parking so the logical thing would be that we would acquire it.

**Councilor Kaufman:** The original hope was that they would eventually donate it to the city because we put the money into it.

**Fritts:** I don't think that that is going to be happening. Although, he did say that they didn't have any plans to dispose of it right now. They just want to leave their options open. So, we have renewed the lease for one year. They will look at it again next year.

*c. Upcoming meetings and trainings*

**Fritts:** Reviewed upcoming meetings that were listed in the council packet.

*d. Discussion of processing zone change application*

**Fritts:** As you know we do not have a quorum for a planning commission, which was not a problem because we did not have any applications. Well, we have now received an application for a zone change. It was my understanding before that if we got an application that the city council could serve as the planning commission, but now that we have a zone change application I was confirming that with legal counsel. She has reviewed our zoning ordinance and the way that it is written the council is the appellate body, but cannot serve as the first line review unless we change our ordinance which would take much longer than just to process the application. So, legal counsel's advice was that we need to find at least one person for the planning commission so that we have 3 people and we have a quorum.

**Councilor Brennan:** We have a zone change application?

**Fritts:** Yes, it is for property where Panthers Den Pizza is.

**Councilor Brennan:** We have no applicants for those vacancies?

**Mayor:** No, we have no applicants at all for planning commission. I made pleas from right here concerning that, but we have not as yet had any applicants.

**Councilor Brand:** We are advertising for promo also?

**Fritts:** The next month we were talking about changing the way the code defines what the promotions committee was. You had asked me to bring back some information about how we could change that. So, no.

**Councilor Brand:** So, we need to do that next month?

**Fritts:** Yes.

**Councilor Brand:** I would like to get the wording in there. Well, we have the wording correct? We just need to adopt it?

**Fritts:** Well, that is one of the things you had asked me to bring to you was a new makeup of the committee and then you would decide how that would be.

**Councilor Gregory:** So, that shouldn't take too long.

**Fritts:** So, the bottom line is we cannot process this application. But, with Oregon land use laws the clock is ticking. The application was deemed complete a couple of weeks ago and within the City limits we have 120 days to process an application. But, we don't have any way to process the application.

**Councilor Gregory:** So, do we have a solution?

**Fritts:** Yes, we need to find one person.

**Councilor Kaufman:** The applicants for councilor that we interviewed, could we ask them if they would be willing to serve.

**Mayor:** I did at the meeting. I made a plea to them. This is an important function of the City. We need at least one more, but it would be nice to have a full board there.

**Councilor Kaufman:** I think that the problem is that nobody wants to work with current members.

**Mayor:** What you are saying has validity. But, we have that problem and will have that problem for a while longer. We need some people to belly up and take a few hits for the City. I hate to put it that way, but at times it does become a little inconvenient.

**Fritts:** Lauren's advice was exactly what Mr. Mayor and Councilor Kaufman just said. She suggested that I call the four people who were not chosen for the council position and explain to them that we do have an application that can't be processed until we get at least one other person on the planning commission that perhaps they would be willing to serve.

**Councilor Kaufman:** Is one of them short, like till the end of the year?

**Fritts:** I believe so. In fact, I think that two of the positions are just to the end of the year.

**Councilor Kaufman:** That's not too long to "take one for the team".

**Mayor:** In fact, if there is anyone out here that would like to "take one for the team" we would love to have your application.

**Councilor Brand:** Would that be excluding the one that has application?

**Fritts:** No, I'm afraid that won't work. So, bottom line is that we need to find someone as soon as possible because the statutory clock is ticking on the application.

**Mayor:** So, with that in mind and I am going to do the same thing, councilors if you know of someone you think might be a good candidate talk to them or bring them in. I sure would appreciate it and it would be good for us all. Keep your eyes open and let's see what we can come up with.

**Councilor Kaufman:** If I remember correctly if we don't meet the 120 days they then take the file over to circuit court and they will then make the decision.

**Mayor:** Correct.

**Fritts:** That would be the worst case scenario.

**Councilor Kaufman:** So then we wouldn't even get to see it as the city?

**Fritts:** Correct.

**11. City Administrator's Report**  
*To be presented at meeting*

**Fritts:** City Administrator's Report was given by Fritts. Narrative attached.

**12. Mayor and Council Member Comments**

**a. Mayor Karl Popoff**

All I know is that I was educated today. I will be gone in another couple of weeks in Corvallis will try to get some extra minutes on my cell phone. It is a pleasure having you aboard Melinda.

**b. Councilors**

1) **Melinda McVey**

I am very happy to be here and if for nothing else, for Captain Underpants.

2) **Larry Brennan**

Welcome Melinda. Honestly, I have never heard of that Captain until tonight.

3) **Brice Gregory**

Welcome aboard Melinda.

4) **Doug Brand**

Congratulations on your appointment. My "Every business and every product has its' risk. You can't get around it and we need to respect that."

5) **Tamie Kaufman**

I just wanted to mention that Jodi gave us Visitor Center stats all the way back to 2005 and whatever she is doing seems to be working.

**c. Student Liaison, Vacant**

**Councilor Brand:** How long have the glass floats been here?

**Fritts:** This is the 3<sup>rd</sup> year.

**13. Citizens Comments**

*As presented to the Mayor at the beginning of the meeting*

**14. Executive Session**

*None scheduled*

The next scheduled meeting of the Gold Beach City Council is Monday, August 12, 2013 at 6:30PM in the Council Chambers of City Hall, 29592 Ellensburg Avenue, Gold Beach, Oregon.

**Mayor:** It is always good having citizens out there keeping an eye on us. At this time I will entertain a motion to dismiss.

**MOTION: Councilor Doug Brand made a motion to adjourn. Councilor Larry Brennan seconded the motion.**

**Mayor Popoff asked if there was any further discussion or debate. Hearing none he called for the vote.**

<b>Record of Vote</b>	<b>Ayes</b>	<b>Nays</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Council Position #1 Melinda McVey	<b>X</b>		
Council Position #2 Larry Brennan	<b>X</b>		
Council Position #3 Brice Gregory	<b>X</b>		
Council Position #4 Doug Brand	<b>X</b>		
Council Position #5 Tamie Kaufman	<b>X</b>		
<b>MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY</b>			

15. *Adjourn*      *Time: 7:37*

**Approved January 13, 2014**

---

Candy Cronberger, City Recorder